

APPLICANT(S): ZIPPER, Eliav
SERIAL NO.: 10/025,959
FILED: December 26, 2001
Page 4

REMARKS

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of objection and/or rejection raised by the Office Action and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicant asserts that the present invention is new, non-obvious and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-12 are pending in the application. Claims 1 and 7 have been objected to. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 7 have been amended.

Claim 5 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. In making this cancellation without prejudice, Applicant reserves all rights in these claims to file divisional and/or continuation patent applications.

Applicant respectfully asserts that the amendments to the claims add no new matter.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

The Office Action objected to claim 1 and 7 because of alleged informalities. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended in order to cure these informalities. Accordingly, Applicant requests withdrawal of the objection.

APPLICANT(S): ZIPPER, Eliav
SERIAL NO.: 10/025,959
FILED: December 26, 2001
Page 5

CLAIM REJECTIONS

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

The Office Action rejected claims 1-4 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as being anticipated by Myllymaki et al. (EP 0827287 A2). Applicant believes this rejection has been overcome in view of the amendments made above and the remarks that follow.

Applicant respectfully submit that Myllymaki et al. does not teach at least the claimed feature of "a level indicator that includes two or more bars ...", as recited in amended claim 1.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the amended independent claim 1 is allowable. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections to amended independent claim 1.

Applicant notes that claims 2-4 and 6 depend from patentable base claim 1. In this regard, in addition to any independent bases for patentability, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 2-4 and 6 are patentable over the cited reference(s) by virtue of at least such dependency on patentable base claim 1. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the 35 U.S.C. §102 rejections of claims 2-4 and 6 be withdrawn.

Applicant respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-4 and 6.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections

The Office Action rejected claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over EP 0827287 A2 to Myllymaki et al. in view of Gould et al. (US Patent 6,134,445). Applicant respectfully asserted that claim 5 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

The Office Action rejected claims 7-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over EP 0827287 A2 to Myllymaki et al. in view of Skarby (US Patent 6,334,050) and further in view of Gould et al. (US Patent 6,134,445). Without conceding the appropriateness of the combination, Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection of these

APPLICANT(S): ZIPPER, Eliav
SERIAL NO.: 10/025,959
FILED: December 26, 2001
Page 6

claims as the Office Action has failed to provide the prerequisite *prima facie* showing of how either reference disclose or suggests a single level indicator that able to provide both, indication of transmitted power level in transmitting mode and an indication of a receiving signal strength in receiving mode.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 7 to 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the pending claims are deemed to be allowable. Their favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner have any question or comment as to the form, content or entry of this Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below. Similarly, if there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel.

Please charge any fees associated with this paper to deposit account No. 05-0649.


Respectfully submitted,

Mark S. Cohen
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 42,425

Dated: August 8, 2004

Eitan, Pearl, Latzer & Cohen Zedek, LLP.
10 Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 1001
New York, New York 10020
Tel: (212) 632-3480
Fax: (212) 632-3489